



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32611
Title of the Manuscript:	PEASANT FARMERS' ADAPTATION STRATEGIES TO COPING WITH CLIMATE CHANGE IN JIBIA NORTHWEST KATSINA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	My recommendation for revision is the author should include similar studies carried out elsewhere in the world. He can mention if these adaptation measures have been done elsewhere and how successful they are. In a nutshell, he should compare and contrast his study with others.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor revisions can be found within the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is well done and presented. The work done is important especially for climate change scientists trying to find adaptation measures.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cornelius Okello
Department, University & Country	Institute of Climate Change and Adaptation, University of Nairobi, Kenya