



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32446
Title of the Manuscript:	Game Theory Approach for Jordan River Basin Dispute
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Both grammar and sentence structure needs a good review. Additionally, it appears as though a software package, created by Terek Hegazy was used. First, he is not listed in the references and after attempting to contact him I have had no responses. The model does not seem to be available for use which makes checking its validity difficult. I am not sure the best way to resolve this. I think referencing the person and the model (software) is critical. I have google conGres and found nothing.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some of the above is minor grammar and structure is minor.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	William Fox
Department, University & Country	Department of Defense Analysis, Naval Postgraduate School, USA