



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31911
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Characterization of Multi-Stage EDFA System
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is no innovations in the topic and the discussion lacks quality so I will say paper is not suitable for your reputed journal. Moreover it has plagiarism above acceptable level.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	high level of plagiarism	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaurav Soni
Department, University & Country	Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Amritsar College of Engineering & Technology, Amritsar, India