



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30934
Title of the Manuscript:	Hourly Real-Time Rainfall Estimation for Improved Smart Irrigation System Using Nearby Automated Weather Station
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 325: It is necessary to inform the unit of measurement in this table (Table 4). Please check this in all tables. The training and validation errors of a Neural Network are usually expressed as MSE or SSE. In "Results and Discussion" (line 331), the error is informed but it is not clear whether it is an average error (MSE), or a sum of errors (SSE) or another. Please clarify this.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 67: when you write "various variety" sounds like a redundancy. It is desirable to describe more precisely the criteria used to determine the topology of ANN, and to describe this network verbatim. The Figure 8 does not illustrate the ANN topology adequately.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Paulo Lopes De Menezes</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Computer Science, UTFPR – Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Brazil</i>