



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30116
Title of the Manuscript:	Geochemical Characteristic and Petrogenesis of Malumfashi Schist around Tandama Area, North-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Check better English usage Many errors about the separation of word numbers. Much clear the legend of the geological map. Why they do not use the most simple diagram like the variation diagram (major, minor, trace elements) Why they do not use the spiderdiagram. The paper need a cleaning for the English language Follow the guidelines of the journal Much clear Table (maybe much thick) Fig, 8 ACM ? what is it? Figure 3 Would you take a diagram much recent? Figure 2: use perhaps much thin section either in Plane polarized light and cross polarized light. Try to use all material you have.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angelo Paone
Department, University & Country	Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II", Naples, Italy