SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_29673**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessing Attitudes towards Statistics and Technology among Medical Post Graduate Students**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The graphs lack some labels…x-axis, etc.** **The key words should be well defined. RML, etc. throughout the manuscript** "Next, the covariance matrix is analyzed, conditional on the assumption of continuous observed variables…." **You didn't give sufficient details on the covariance matrix analysed** "Other suggestions have been 289 proposed by statistics education community, and several studies have collected data on this issue…." **There is no reference and citation for this** "The meaning of the term "technology" has changed significantly since its emergence in education…" **No reference for this**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Stephen Akandwanaho***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa***