



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32463
Title of the Manuscript:	A SURVEY OF DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The theme of the review is well chosen but it can be prepared properly before acceptance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As a review article more references should be used. In some parts there are not any references. 2. Please check the spelling and grammar mistakes (especially all of the text, line 74,75,89 etc.). 3. Add competing interest part. 4. Please numbered the references sequentially in the text, beginning with introduction. 5. Emphasize why this review has been written instead of giving topic heads. 6. Please use full names before abbreviations while they first appeared in the text. 7. I could not see any figure legends, please mention under the figures. 8. Please indicate the gene names in italic form. 9. Remove mitochondrial DNA and functions parts. It seems unnecessary information. 10. Table 1 can be modified and prepared more properly. 11. Figure 4 looks blurred, please change or remove it. Also figure 5 is in poor quality please change it. 12. Mutation part is also unnecessary. 13. Part 5.1 and part 5.3 can be prepared as a paragraph. 	<p>I humbly appreciate Reviewer's Observations and suggestions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More references have been added to the manuscript during the course of revision. 2. Spelling and grammar were checked and corrected as observed 3. No competing interest 4. Noted as observed and corrected 5. Noted 6. Noted and corrected 7. Added 8. Genes names have been italicized in the manuscript 9. Sir, this may be necessary because it also has a link with DNA and more so readers of other branch of science may find it interesting 10. Table 1, been properly modified. 11. Figure 4 and 5 diagram have been changed to a better one. 12. Pls Sir, this may be necessary because it also have a link with DNA and more so readers of other branch of science may find it interesting 13. Noted and action taken
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		