SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_34195**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Superluminal Hydrogen Atom in a Constant Magnetic Field in (3+1)-dimensional Spacetime (II)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the equation 2.1, there is some typo error; it can be rectified by the author.	The general expression of the inhomogeneous Maxwell's field equation of second order is derived from the Euler-Lagrange equation (obtained through variation of the action with respect to the field A_μ) and given by $\delta A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon j^\mu = (\alpha+\gamma)\partial^\mu(\partial_\sigma\partial^\sigma) + \beta\,\Box A^\mu.$ (1) Generally obviously, one makes the choice: $\delta = 0,\ \beta = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon,\ \alpha+\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon,$ and further one fixes $\epsilon = -1$ using the interaction term; finally, one chooses α to vanish. As we can see, substituting these values into (1) above yields equation (2.1) in the manuscript. However, there, I have omitted one detail: Equation (2.1) agrees with the Lagrangian of (2.2) up to a four-divergence term $\partial_\mu(A^\mu\partial_\sigma A^\sigma - A^\sigma\partial_\sigma A^\mu)$. I thank you very much.
Optional/General comments		