



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33907
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversified traditional wooden implements used in Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Practices in Ladakh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article there's a few comments in the paper, missed a discussion with academic scientific, I suggest citing another's works belong the paper, being agreement or discarding with data.	Ok.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There's many paragraph with small phrases, this break the lectures.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nothing	