



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33727
Title of the Manuscript:	Combining ability analysis and gene action for productivity in round brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Check that crosses are made in full diallel or half diallel or diallel excluding reciprocals. In material and methods author mentioned 30 F₁ hybrids that is possible only in full diallel. 2. Mention year of experiment 3. Add mean performance table for parents and crosses and pedigree of parents if possible	1. Crosses are made in diallel excluding reciprocals. 2. I mentioned the year of experiment 3. Mean data is communicate in another paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Added as sticky notes in reviewed article file Check spacing between words because some words merged togetherCorrect references as per journal guidelines and add latest references	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Check title productivity is not the correct term ...it is calculated on per unit area.. use yield and yield component traits in round brinjal	Sir you are right, I changed the topic slightly.