SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_33654**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Characterization of Tar Generated During Updraft Gasification of Woody Biomass in Auto-thermal Packed Bed Reactor**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Abstract should be within 200 words - **Analytical definitions- equation-7,8 & 9 is not visible.** - Conclusion should be more descriptive with future aspects of your research - For reference go through the Journal guidelines - Acknowledgement	- Number of words for the abstract reduced to 198. - **Equation 7,8 & 9 re-typed.** - Quantitative data in conclusion reduced in favour of descriptive statements, and future aspects of the research has been added. - Reference style revised accordingly. - Acknowledgement included.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		