



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33248
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and Mapping of the Noise Levels in Oil Mill Market and its Environs, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: The title needs refining. It appears mapping is part of evaluation. I suggest rephrasing of title to "Evaluation of noise levels in oil mill market and its environs, Port Harcourt, Nigeria" In section 3.1's narrative discussion, the authors merely repeated figure 8 entire contents. Rather provide brief highlights and implications. In methods provide sampling intervals for each day e.g. sampling was done at 2hr intervals in an eight (8) hr working day. It appears 15minutes is not the sampling interval but duration. Provide the strengths and limitations of your study. In line 155 and 157 the authors claim that figure 4 presents results of noise measurements inclusive of Oil Mill Market Point 2 but in contrary this graph shows Oil Mill Market Point 1 findings. In table 1 second row the term "Day Hour" appear vague and meaningless Line 57 term "research studies" appears more of repetition as research means studies. Delete term "research".	Paper title has been adjusted as recommended. Research deleted Day Hour deleted
Minor REVISION comments	Check typing errors e.g. line 95 "place" instead of placed, line 306 "campaign" to be "campaigns", line 312 "encourage" to be "encouraged", line 95 provide units of measurement and in line 313 device to be "devices". Table 2 "educationl" to be "educational", line 121 typing error: "WHONoise" and line 60 "mapnoise" There is need for consistency e.g. reference 10 is in upper caps whilst other refs are in lower caps. Article requires checking for typing errors.	All corrections have been made All corrections have been made
Optional/General comments	Article requires checking for typing errors.	