



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32611
Title of the Manuscript:	PEASANT FARMERS' ADAPTATION STRATEGIES TO COPING WITH CLIMATE CHANGE IN JIBIA NORTHWEST KATSINA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Try to present some result facts in the abstract. Also Climate change itself is big ocean,, if possible note the parameters were considered by the researcher during field study . ex: rainfall/ temperature change etc., In adaptation strategies would have considered soil and water management techniques and defined clearly Instead of yearly changes, would have focused on monthly shift in the rainfall pattern, since its matter more during crop growth period	i. This has been incorporated. ii. The study is on 'Adaptation Strategies of Peasant Farmers to Climate Change' not on Climate Change. iii. Only strategies adopted by Peasant Farmers in study area were considered. Major Soil and Water Management Strategies are too technical and expensive for this target group (Peasant Farmers.) iv. As pointed in ii above, this study specifically on 'Adaptation Strategies of Peasant Farmers to Climate Change' not on parameters of Climate Change.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		