

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32491
Title of the Manuscript:	Physician Knowledge of Radiation Risk in prescribing CT Imaging in Moroccan Hospitals.
New Title:	Physicians Knowledge of Radiation Risk in prescribing CT Imaging in Moroccan Hospitals.
Type of Article:	Review paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
Again, I am worried that biased results are presented. 1. I asked about sample size not sampling method 2. I asked about non-responders not about response rate Non-responders may have no knowledge or have all knowledge???? 3. Results are not professionally presented In tables, write total not Σ The ethical issue not clarified	1- We are limited to this sample size because of the limitation of the time allocated for the study, the financial resources and the unavailability of certain doctors. 2-For practitioners who do not have an answer for one or more questions, we believe that these physicians have probably no knowledge on the doses received as well as the risks of ionizing radiation during the CT scan examinations. 3-I totally agree with you. It is better to use statistical software like SPSS, but we only have Microsoft Excel. It is done. The authors state that the questionnaire was reviewed and approved by the institution's ethics committee and therefore conducted in accordance with ethical standards. All questionnaires were completed by a physician.