



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32406
Title of the Manuscript:	Geochemical Analysis of the Groundwater Quality along the Vrishabhavathi River Basin
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The findings shown in the manuscript are interesting and valuable, however in my opinion, the manuscript should be published after minor corrections. I propose to remove general information (p. 6 "It comprises an Anionic as well as a Cationic.....") and definition (p. 7 "The estimates of standard errors of mean..." and others cases - please check carefully all the text. It is clear for readers of this journal.	Thank you for your suggestion and correction. We have removed the text which you had told us to remove in the paper. We are mailing you the corrected paper We have highlighted all the corrections in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		