



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32281
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Of Microbial Pathogens In Biofilms Of Deteriorating Fish In A Suburb of Ogun State, South-western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is an interesting study but requires some modifications: - At the samples sampling, preparation and evaluation should be used some procedures regulated by legislation (national, if exist, or international). - Some of the data presented in tables can be better evidenced by using graphs. - More recent references.	As far as Nigeria is concerned, there is no procedure regulated by legislation that we need permission for. Were we to use live animals, ethical approval would have been required. All the data except one has been presented in forms of charts. More recent references have been included, the most recent being 2017.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		