



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32187
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Capital and Operating Cost on the Aggregate Production in some selected Quarries in North-Central Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It would be good to include the usefulness of this work and compare it with data from other parts of Africa or other countries as well.	The usefulness of this work is to assist potential investors and the companies concern to evaluate the profitability of quarry business in the North-Central part of Nigeria. Comparing this work with data from other part of the world will definitely be good but such data are not available.
Optional/General comments	The authors have done a commendable job in presenting their work concisely and precisely. The overall organization of the manuscript is good and can be easily comprehended by the average reader. The article is very important under the current industry crisis and provides an insight into Effect of Capital and Operating Cost on the Aggregate Production in some selected Quarries in North-Central Nigeria. The authors also provide optimization models with proper case studies to validate their hypothesis.	Thank you for your comments.