



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms-BJAST_32073
Title of the Manuscript:	Usefulness of River Training Structures and Present Status in Patuakhali District Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract should be reconstruction. Introduction is too long. Fig resolution is too low. Article too long please shorten.	The authors are agreed with reviewer comments; Abstract: rewrite the methodology and conclusion part in abstract. Introduction: Shorten and deleted few unnecessary sentence and some less important phrase or ward. For example: -in page 2 line 16 deleted creating channel total length of about 18 24,140 km; -in line 23 deleted of the country ; -line 26 deleted bank tramplng, navigations; -in line 51 deleted sentence The high water period generally occurs twice a day and interval time between one and next averaging twelve hours etc. -A hand drawing map resolution is low due to unavailability. -The total article shorten around 2 pages. -Necessary correction have been made as per reviewer comments and highlighted in the text.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		