SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_31911**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Performance Characterization of Multi-Stage EDFA System**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The discussion lacks quality. Moreover it has plagiarism above acceptable level.**	1-The language level of the manuscript is enhanced in its revised form. 2-Since we refer the reference from which we obtain some figures, to help us for attaining our objective, it is not plagiarism.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	high level of plagiarism	Since we refer the reference from which we obtain some figures, to help us for attaining our objective, it is not plagiarism.