



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31679
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a Manually Operated Multi-Purpose Roasting Machine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Page No.6- The performance evaluation: "The times used to roast them were 12 minutes and 20 minutes respectively compared to the existing method which took 21 minutes and 35 minutes respectively" This performance enhancement in roasting should be the interest for the user. I suggest authors to justify this in detail what may the reason/reasons for saving about 40% roasting time by using this machine, if possible. It is also suggested to correct the English The paper can be considered after minor correction suggested.	The roasting time depends on the moisture content of the food items and charcoal. The higher the moisture content of the food item, the higher the roasting time, vice versa.