



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31563
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical screening, evaluation of antioxidant and anti-sickling activities of two polar extracts of Combretum glutinosum leaves. Perr. ex DC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Some revision: ✓ Authors should replace ml by mL in all the paper ✓ Re-write the reference number 15 ✓ Authors have analyzed the results by ANOVA, but all results have expressed as one repetition. So, authors should explain if the experiments were carried out in triplicate or no? And they are invited to express all results by giving the Standard Deviation.	The replacement was done in all the paper Reference Number 15 was re-written The corrections were made, This software (origin pro 8.5) permitted to see the deviation standard on the curves. Each test was expressed three times
Optional/General comments		