SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_31374**
Title of the Manuscript:	**OPTIMIZATION IN THE COMPUTATION OF DIELECTRIC CONSTANT OF METHANOL USING DEBYE RELAXATION METHOD**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Sentences are not formatted properly (Sentence should start with capital letter). Please check** 2. **Technical novelty of this work is very poor.** 3. **Experimental data have been taken from elsewhere.** 4. Similar type of work has already been reported earlier. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.10.037. doi: 10.1038/srep01796	The experimental data were taken from elsewhere and we acknowledged the source. We have no idea that a similar work has already been reported earlier.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	1. **Author should work harder to incorporate some more relevant data.**	