



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31277
Title of the Manuscript:	Modified Genetic Algorithm Parameters to Improve Online Character Recognition Accuracy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors contribute the work on two classifiers with integration of MGA into an existing MOBP learning algorithm. 2. The reference 3, Adigun, JO et al. also models the similar work. 3. And in the 'Introduction' part the authors state that the work of Ref '3' suffers from poor feature selection and slow convergence affecting the training time and recognition accuracy. The same has to be shown in the Classification and Testing section of the paper.	This is a short research article from reference 3. Two classifier (C1 and C2) were formulated from MGA-MOBP such that C1 classified using MGA at classification level while C2 employed MGA at both feature selection level and classification level. The paper used reference 3 model with minor modifications. The issue of convergence time was not dealt with in 3, whereas, this paper focus on the influence of generation gaps on convergence time with no serious changes on the recognition accuracy.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors have to clearly state and quantify how the improvement in their work was brought in with reference to [3], [8] and [11]	Table 1 deals with the effect of variation of generation gap on average convergence time. This has brought about the influence of generation gaps on convergence time, whereas this parameter was not dealt with in references 3, 8 and 11
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	