



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31212
Title of the Manuscript:	Dispersion and Nonlinear Characteristics of a Doping Defected Core Spiral Photonic Crystal Fiber
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. There should be a space between "1.55μm". 2. Similarly, in the first paragraph of Introduction, "1550nm" should be "1550 nm". 3. On line 48, the meaning of the sentence, "In recently hexagonal...", is not clear. 4. In the figure caption for Figure 2, "Plot of refractive indices as function of wavelength" should be "Plot of refractive indices as functions of wavelength". 5. In the figure caption for Figure 3, "Dispersion curves of the proposed" should be "Dispersion curve of the proposed".	1. Line 7- There is a space between 1.55 μm now. 2. We have replaced all "nm" with space. 3. Line 48(Line 49)-We have modified the line and now it would be more meaningful. 4. We have replaced the figure caption for Figure 2. 5. We have replaced figure caption for Figure 3.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		