



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31198
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling Leachate Pollution Index and Potential for Selected Municipal Solid Waste Dump Sites in Warri Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The word 'Model' at line 23 should be deleted. 2. The full meaning of NPA in line 59 should be indicated. 3. Figure 2 should be Plate 1 and should be discussed or mentioned appropriately in the writeup	Suggested corrections for items 1 – 3 have been effected and highlighted in yellow. Given that the original Figure 2 has been changed to Plate 1, the remaining Figures 3 – 6 have been affected and thus adjusted to Figures 2 - 5.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The full meaning of GPS-Global Positioning System should be given in line 77. 2. The References should be well reorganized in line with the conventional order of alphabetical e.t.c.	1. The full meaning GPS has been effected as suggested 2. The suggested adjustment of references alphabetically is not effected. To implement alphabetical adjustment will attract Name-Year system in text referencing, which is not in consonance with the Number-system currently in use by Science Domain International. If the Editor-in-Chief advises otherwise that the current practice has changed, we will comply. It may interest to know that I'm a Reviewer to SDI Journals
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is technically very sound and educative.	