



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31184
Title of the Manuscript:	RATE DECLINE-BASED MODELS FOR GAS RESERVOIR PERFORMANCE PREDICTION IN NIGER DELTA REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammar: e.g, "The most popular decline trend is that which represent the decline in the hydrocarbon production rates with time;"	The most popular decline trend is that which present the decline in the hydrocarbon production rates with time;"
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Style: e.g, "Thus, their prediction results may not be that accurate as expected as the aforementioned poses a major challenge to the accurate prediction of the gas reservoir performance based on the available models in the literature."	Additionally, their prediction results may not be that accurate, as the mentioned limitation of the available models poses a major challenge on the prediction of the gas reservoir performance using models in the literature.