



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31087
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ADLERIAN INDIVIDUAL PSYCHOLOGY COUNSELLING THEORY: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE NIGERIA CONTEXT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Most sections did not have sources, kindly look into the highlighted sections in the manuscript where possible cite one or two sources. I think if the author relook into sections to include sources it will be fine.	This has been addressed hence the increased number of citations and references
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A few spelling errs, they are highlighted in the main document	The spelling errors have been handled accordingly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a good sound paper.	