



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_31078
Title of the Manuscript:	Geological, Geophysical and Seismological Investigations for siting of seismic stations in Minna and Abakaliki, Nigeria for Data reliability
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	no	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Give a borehole for soil section in the positions of the stations.	This would have been an interesting aspect of the investigations we looked forward to, in order to validate our resistivity results by correlating them with a borehole; unfortunately there are no known boreholes in the vicinity of the proposed sites, at the time of the field work. We are also careful not to unconsciously invalidate our findings using information from distant boreholes.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	no	