



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30757
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF SUSTAINABLE NAUTICAL ECOTOURISM MANAGEMENT ON KEPULAUAN SERIBU JAKARTA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A good piece of work. The author, however, needs to proof read and review the grammar and some of the missing words. Eg. Line of the abstract... <i>potential that located on the entire islands...</i> Intro: Unavoidable condition of activity visit is almost the most visitors do activities that come into direct contact with ecosystems...	First of all, I would like to say thank you for the comment. I have done some revisions in the manuscript (abstract and introduction) and it's highlighted. Please see the revised paper, Thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		