



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30404
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOINFORMATICS TOOLS IN GENOMIC BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The purpose of presented manuscript is unclear. Bioinformatics and computational biology exists at least 15 years. There are several large conferences, tens magazines, many books, several scientific societies, fields of study in hundreds of universities. We have thousands of available tools and thousands of databases. Nucleic Acid Research publishes annually database issue and web server issue that contains hundreds of new databases and hundreds of new tools. Every popular programming language has bioinformatics libraries available. The bioinformatics market is worth billions of dollars and it grows of 20% by year. The manuscript describes only a few tools. These tools are not connected. Not all fields in bioinformatics are covered. In my opinion the text should focus to narrower range. Maybe the source Bioinformaticsweb.tk is worth to describe or the particular set of tools, cooperating together and used by authors.	The work did not centre on a particular tool, rather, gave broad knowledge of some of the tools in briefs. Discussing particular tools may be another topic on its own. Thanks for suggesting another topic that may come in the subsequent edition of this journal.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The english language should be checked.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		