



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30404
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOINFORMATICS TOOLS IN GENOMIC BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The writing style of the article should be improved entirely Usage of vocabulary is very poor. The grammatical mistakes has to be rectified The author has listed the bioinformatics tools and its applications; however, the manuscript has not been clearly explained. The manuscript lacks information towards the title mentioned for the article.	In my opinion, the use of grammar here is ok. But if pointed out, the particular errors could be addressed appropriately. A few tools have been explained. However, detailing them may make work larger than necessary. This is article and not essay writing. Please suggest another title if you think it is not in line with the content. The content of this work briefly discusses the Bioinformatics Tools in Genomic Medical Research.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		