



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30337
Title of the Manuscript:	VILLAGE RESOURCE CENTRES - AN ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM FARMERS OF KARNATAKA, INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- On the abstract, it should be content of empirical datas to support research facts and findings. - On the conclusion, it should be indicate whats the main issues related with avantages, constraints and suggestions of farmers. - Lack of the typical or farmers profiles on results and discussion - If farmers want to access to VRC is it free of charge or not?	- The correction is done . some major data is included in the abstract. - Conclusion is rewritten as per the suggestion - Farmers profile included in the results as per the suggestion - Yes, The programme is free of charge.
Optional/General comments		