



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30162
Title of the Manuscript:	Acceptability of improved instant cassava-soybean based complementary food by weaning mothers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	/	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should add the significance of bulk density. Why the authors do used SPSS for statistical analysis. SAS is better for scientific papers.	<u>Statistical significance on bulk density can be found in table 3.</u> <u>SAS was not and still not readily available to authors but The SPSS statistical software iswas readily available for the authored.</u> <u>Suggested statistical software has been noted but not available to authors currently.</u>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		