



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_30162
Title of the Manuscript:	Acceptability of improved instant cassava-soybean based complementary food by weaning mothers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The proximate composition of all prepared samples should be determined and reported as such. This will ensure fair comparison of the samples and lead to a conclusion based on empirical evidence.	The proximate composition was performed on the most preferred formulation for nutritional labelling purposes and not on all formulation due to inadequate funds
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	These are indicated in the manuscript.	Comments indicated in manuscripts have been duly addressed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	These are indicated in the manuscript. (Suggest flavour be replaced with aroma in the manuscript)	Correction done