SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_30117**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND PROTOLITH OF THE MIGMATITE-GNEISSES OF TANDAMA AREA, KATSINA STATE, NW NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Based on provided geochemical characteristics, some of these rocks are essentially TTGs comparable to modern slab melts adakites. I think that this paper will benefit immensely if this comparison can be further exploited using such diagrams as Sr/Y-Y and LA/Yb-Yb (Defant and Drummond, 1990, Nature; Drummond et al., 1996, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Scotland; Defant and Kepezhinskas, 2001, EOS Transactions of the American Geophysical Union). Such slab-derived TTGs are widespread within the West African craton which also puts the Tandama area story into a wider regional context.**	OK
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		