SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_29938**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Strength Properties of Concrete Produced With Iron Filings as Sand Replacement**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting research and should be contributed. I have some comments for this version as follows: 1. Please review the literatures again. 2. Discuss the variation of Iron Filings and test results.	➢ There has been a slight review of the literatures. ➢ The variation of iron filings and the test results have now been discussed in the revised manuscript. There was an anomaly in the original manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	