



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_29754
Title of the Manuscript:	CHOOSING THE OPTIMAL SEGMENTATION LEVEL FOR POS TAGGING OF THE QURANIC ARABIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: The method used is not described in the abstract. Methods and techniques used should briefly describe in the abstract. Literature Review: I assume that literature review is discussed in the introduction as there is no specific sub-topic for literature review. LR does not include recent studies. Please add more recent literature. Be up to date but do not forget older studies.	We added a brief description of the used methods and techniques in the abstract. Recent studies are added in the literature review
Minor REVISION comments	Spacing : Please check spacing line 91-95, 108-110. Spelling : Line 219, the word Fig. should be spelled fully.	inline equations is rewritten to make spacing line appears normal (spacing line is 1) corrected
Optional/General comments		