



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_29503
Title of the Manuscript:	Response Time Improvement on one Time Password (OTP) Technique to Prevent Replay Attack in a Radius Environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Formatting of the paper is to be improved. 2. The results are just less than 0.1ms differences. 3. There is no new idea of improving the security. The existing methods are used in RADIUS server. 4. How the proposed method decreases the response time is not analysed properly. 5. The results are to be improved.	The formatting has been taken care of it throughout the manuscript. The decrease in the response time is analysed in the result and discussion section.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Figure fonts should be in uniform size. 2. Flowchart 3.10 is not clear 3. Paragraph formatting is to be done throughout the paper 4. Fig 4.2 is not aligned properly. 5. The size of the Graph and their representation should be uniform.	The formatting has been thoroughly done by the publishers.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Basics of the Server and authentication methods are clearly explained but fail to focus on the proposed method in detail.	It has been carefully analysed.