



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22403
Title of the Manuscript:	An on Farm nutritive assessment of Milk from Fulani Herds in the Derived Savanna Zone of Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	RESULTS • Some of the results have been discussed, whilst there is no need of discussion in results. • The tables may be given in the results section. • Standard values for these variables have not been given which are required or their comparison data is must. DISCUSSION: • The results must be dicussed more thoroughly. • Moreover personal perception and justification for each of the results obtained must be given. • More international comparisons may be given	Ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		