SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_9358**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Bioremediation of Soil Contaminated with Crude Oil and Amended with Moringa Oleifera**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Update of review of literature is essential. Till date many advancement is taken place in bioremediation. Author, though tries to describe his findings in lucidity but I don't find novelty in the paper. The experimental design should be expanded minimum ten with a minimum of three separate assignment. Based on the new data the author has to support his findings with statistical analysis. Besides this the degree of loss of crude oil (light Nigerian oil) in complete degradation/mineralization needs to be supported with different bioassay's and soil biological characters. Moreover, I don't find link between isolation of crude oil degrading bacteria and amendment of *M. Oleifera.*	
Minor REVISION comments	NA	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**North East Institute of Science & Technology, Jorhat, Assam, India**