



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_29910
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Losses in Distribution Networks of Selected Industrial Cities in Nigeria using ETAP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	-The word faces should be removed in introduction. -Figure 2 quality is poor, please improve on the quality -ETAP view of the Port Harcourt and Kano distribution should be included -In methodology, the number of networks written in numeric and bracketed is not important. Writing the numbers in words is enough. -All equations must be numbered -numbering of equations must be aligned -the flow chart in figure 3 is not clear	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abubakar Yakubu
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics, Kebbi University of Science & Tech, Aliero, Nigeria