



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_29608
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater Assessment and Aquifer Vulnerability Studies of Emure Ile, Southwestern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- In Introduction: Provide a good background information on groundwater vulnerability citing relevant literatures. (for examples : BOUFEKANE et al in African Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology, 2013). - Page 04: If possible, provide a better map of Fig.2: Fig. 2. Topographical Map of the Study Area. - Page 05: To change the title of figure 05 (Hydraulic Head Map of the Study Area) by Piezometric map of the Study Area and add the month of the piezometric well. - To add the discussion: Again, your discussion should compare your results of the vulnerability (groundwater) with the investigations geophysics.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Acceptable if revision is properly made.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boufekane Abdelmadjid
Department, University & Country	Higher National School of Hydraulics, Algeria