



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28827
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of teaching geometry by computer integrated method on; the self-perception of learning efficacy, the attitudes toward geometry, the classroom's climate during the geometry lesson, and on the achievements of instruction students in Arab collages that qualifies teachers.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This article is very relevant and has a very crucial contribution to mathematics teaching. However 1. The title need to be more organised 2. The author has provided too many variables listed below • personality parameter - self-efficacy for learning geometry, and • the emotional parameters - attitudes toward geometry and classroom's climate during the geometry lesson • cognitive parameter – geometric efficacy and the • academic parameter – studies' achievements in geometry, ➤ learning surroundings: – traditional, and the other – -a high-tech learning environment, supported by computing and Telecommunications. The study will benefit most if it can concentrate on less of these variables, possibly one. For example only “ attitude” . 3. The variables used in this study need a lot of introspection as they are about internal emotions and they involve more of observation and objective responses from the respondents.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Though the research methodology and the instruments of data collection and analyses are good, The paper needs to focus on one or less variables if it is to bring out its crucial contribution.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Antonia Makina</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of South Africa, South Africa</i>