



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_27324
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of kinetics of chemically activated carbon produced from palm kernel shell
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author have to check the typographical errors throughout the manuscript, Example: in conclusion part line no 172. “activities carbon for sorption of metals” should be replaced with “activated carbon for sorption of metals” The paper may accepted with necessary modification	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>P.N.Palanisamy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Chemistry, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, TN, India</i>