SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_26268**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Optimising Capacity of Nigeria Construction Sector for Socio-Economic Sustainability**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Abstract – not based normal journal format & need re-structure** **2. L15-20: hanging sentence & based on word-by-word 'C-P' without clear links btw sentences;** **3. L41-49: is it Nigerian case @ general issues? Then what links with para 36-40?** **4. L49: 3 liars…what is this meant?** **5. L51: sector data for econ dec…?** **6. L51/52: how are they related to 53/55 ie data for Nigeria vs policy for world economy?** **7. L61-2: no clear link & meaning** **8. Intro too long – yet doesn't establish true/clear gaps on issue in Nigeria & how wanted to overcome** **9. Lit Rev: no need for many subsections: eg delete 2.1** **10. L88-90: what is trying to explain?** **11. Overall LR: no clear links between para – need to re-structure sentences & link them; when female issue was raised-up – do you intend to establish that female should hv equal opportunities in this hard-L jobs; how do you relate this with situation in other African @ developing C's? From LR – gaps are not clearly been addressed & link them esp with the following method section @ to the title as a whole.**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	12. **Section 3 esp L164/5 -201: merely technical part/info of statistical method – no need to over-emphasis on this; more relevant – how they will be applied & provide results for this study.** 13. **T2 L284-286: more important – where does females L concentrated? Need to provide brief info on this vs just provide static data** 14. **L323: re-structure sentence & results elaboration, than just referring to T1, T5 & T6: explain how those data are being applied in your rgr model!** 15. **L341/344: any direct evidences/statistical data to support?** **While most of results indicated low to modest contributions/effects, yet your conclusion present a 'strong' indication – pls provide clear line of argument/conclusion; as for recommendation – eg L380-2: just a general suggestion vs clear & concrete policy to overcome such issues.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Overall English – need further editings; use simple, clear & short sentences.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Abdul Razak Bin Chik***
Department, University & Country	**Universiti Utara Malaysia, *Malaysia***