



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25926
Title of the Manuscript:	THE USE OF COCONUT FIBRE ASH AS A PARTIAL REPLACEMENT FOR CEMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Reference (Idorn, 1997) is there in text but missing in REFERENCES. - Gunasekaran and Kumar (2008) found that the average fresh concrete density and 28-day cube compressive strength of the concrete using coconut shell aggregate were 1975 kg m⁻³ and 19.1 N mm⁻², respectively. Units to be checked in the above statement. - Units of compressive strength should be N/mm² not N/mm - Dawood, E.T. and M. Ramli (2011) was missing in text - Duvaut, G., G. Terrel and V.E. Len(2000) was missing in text - Feng, L.Y., P.M. Tahir and Y.B. Hoong(2011) was missing in text - Gram, H.E., (1983) was missing in text Please check the References Section, some of the references were missing	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G.V. Ramasubbarao
Department, University & Country	Department of Civil Engineering, SRK Institute of Technology, India