



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25875
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON NUTRIENT AND ANTINUTRIENT COMPOSITION AND NUTRIENT RETENTION OF RAW AND COOKED IMPORTED AND LOCAL RICE (ORYZA SATIVA) VARIETIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This MS deal with the nutritional and functional benefits of local rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) varieties in comparison with imported ones in Nigeria. The author investigated the proximate composition, minerals, vitamins such as thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, antinutrient factors as saponins and amylase inhibitory activities. Moreover, they estimated the nutrient retention of these rice varieties. From the analysis, it suggests that local rice varieties in Nigeria are higher in micro and macronutrients than the imported ones. It is useful information for the consumption of local rice varieties in Nigeria.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Takeshi Nagai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Yamagata University, Japan</i>