



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_25712
Title of the Manuscript:	Plain Text Encoding/Decoding Technique Using a Combination of Huffman and Run-Length Algorithms.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The author has not considered any recent work in the domain. There is a lot work done in near past and it must be considered in survey and analysis. 2. The result is not compared with the existing result. Comparison with prior/existing work is must to prove the effectiveness of proposed work. 3. Only one paper from IEEE is referred. More reference should be considered from the highly reputed publications like IEEE, ScienceDirect, Elsevier and so on. It will help author to understand the recent scenario of proposed field. 4. The proposed work is combination of two highly known compression method. There is no major research element in proposed work.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usha Mehta
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology, Nirma University, India