SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_25679**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF RUMKALE ARCHAEOLOGICAL REGION FOR ECOTOURISM POTENTIAL**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paragraph (Line 225→ 227) should be reviewed, sentence not very clear. More highlights are made in the text as 'comments' → The article requires substantial English Check before publishing: in terms of verbs and paragraphs formulation. **Please see the attached file**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The article shows a case study about a subject of great interest for territory governance. The article is structured correctly and goals and conclusions appear clearly expressed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Samiha Chemli***
Department, University & Country	***Management Department, University of La Manouba, Tunisia***