SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_24815**
Title of the Manuscript:	**BASC AND TECHNICAL CONSIDERATION ON POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The minor typographical errors** **Some suggestions are highlighted in blue ink in the original article in word document.**	
Minor REVISION comments	I believe the author will do well in giving some recommendations to the readers. He started his article by citing Nigeria. I am of the opinion that he can address some particular area of geographical location	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**S.O. Adesogan**
Department, University & Country	**Civil Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria**